Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/071,673	CRONIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wesley L. Kim	2688
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Wesley L. Kim</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joel Justiss</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 March 2006</u>	Time: <u>n/a</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1, 10, 21, 25, 26, 27, and 32		
Prior art documents discussed:  n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner discussed correction of minor 112 $2^{nd}$ issues and also discussed amendment to the claims to help put the claims into better condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Si	onature – if appropriate)
(Application (Application)	, while all a Lichter childring of	anararo mappropriate/